

Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land

Soil Erosion

Sheet and Rill Erosion

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Me	
Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$. Assessment level: The water erosion rate is $<=$ T.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation	Test Met
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No 🗌
All non-traffic areas are vegetated.	Yes	No 🗌
The areas integrated with trees are covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 90 percent of the area.	Yes	No 🗌
Wind Erosion		
Planning Criteria	Planning C	riteria Met
Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$. Assessment level: The wind erosion rate is $<=$ T.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation '	Test Met
All non-traffic areas are vegetated.	Yes	No 🗌
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No
The areas integrated with trees are covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 90 percent of the area.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land</u> <u>Classic Gully Erosion</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Screening level: Classic gullies are not present. Assessment level: Classic gully management is adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and are offsite impacts are minimized by vegetation and/or structures.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No 🗌
Soil erosion in areas integrated with trees is controlled. There are no impacts on sensitive vegetation. There are no occurrences or enlargement of gullies.	Yes	No
Streambank, Shoreline, Water Conveyance Channels		
Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Screening level: Streams, shoreline or channels are not adjacent to site. Assessment level: For shorelines and water conveyance channels; banks are stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND if bank erosion is present, it is beyond the client's control or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND for streambanks, SVAP2 bank condition element score > 5.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
Excluding all fundamentally unstable, natural geomorphic streambanks/shorelines, all streambanks/shorelines on the operation show few signs of erosion or bank failure. Each is stable and protected with natural materials.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land

Soil Quality Degradation

Organic Matter Depletion

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Screening level: Soil organic matter depletion is not a problem AND activities do not cause soil organic matter depletion. Assessment level: Ground cover meets state criteria specific to ecological site.	Yes	No 🗌
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation To	est Met
The areas integrated with trees are covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area. The topsoil is not displaced. Woody residue is being added to the forest floor through branch breakage and treefalls. Concentration of Salts and other Chemicals	Yes	No
Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Screening level: Activities do not cause salinity/sodicity problems. Assessment level: Conservation practices and managements are in place to mitigate on-site effects.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation To	est Met
All erodible areas with high chemical concentrations (such as high salts) have been stabilized with permanent vegetation	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land

Excess Water

Runoff and Flooding and Ponding

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Ponding or flooding not a problem AND activities do not cause ponding/flooding problems. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	Water runoff from hard surfaces, such as building roofs, is controlled to the point that is does not cause erosion or large streams of water.	Yes	No
Se	asonal High Water Table		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Seasonal high water table does not cause a problem. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	Tile drainage has been installed to mitigate the negative effects of a seasonal high water table.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land

Water Quality Degradation

Nutrients in Surface Water

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Organic or inorganic nutrients are not applied AND the PLU is not grazed AND there are no confined livestock areas. Assessment level: Nutrients if applied, are based on a soil test, tissue tests or nutrient budget AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize surface water impacts.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.	Yes	No
	The discharge of surface/subsurface drainage systems are as prescribed by the drainage water management plan.	Yes	No
Sa	Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas. Its in Surface Water	Yes	No
<u>Sa</u>	its in Surface Water		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
	Screening level: Excess salt is not a problem AND activities do not contribute to excess salt problem. Assessment level: Salt concentrations are managed to mitigate off-site transport to surface waters.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	The concentration and likely harmfulness of salt is managed to limit impact on desired plants.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land</u> <u>Salts in Ground Water</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Screening level: Excess salt is not a problem AND activities do not contribute to excess salt problem. Assessment level: Salt concentrations are managed to mitigate off-site transport to groundwater.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
The concentration and likely harmfulness of salt is managed to limit impact on desired plants.	Yes	No 🗌
<u>scess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, Bio-solids or Surface Water</u>	· Compost A	<u>oplications</u>
Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Screening level: Potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals are not applied on the land. Assessment level: Organic materials are applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to surface water sources.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Surface Water

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
	Screening level: Activities do not present the potential for contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants. Assessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to surface water.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.	Yes	No
<u>Pet</u>	roleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported t	o Ground W	<u>ater</u>
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Activities do not present the potential for contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants. Assessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to groundwater.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land</u> <u>Excessive Sediment in Surface Water</u>

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$ AND classic gullies are not present AND streams or shoreline are not on or adjacent to site. Assessment level: Upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies AND the SVAP2 - bank condition $>= 5$ AND the livestock and vehicle water crossings are stable AND The water erosion rate is $<=$ T AND wind erosion rate is $<=$ T.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.	Yes	No
	All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No 🗌
<u>El</u>	evated Water Temperature		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Water courses on or adjacent to the site are not designated by a State Agency as a temperature impairment OR water course temperature is not a client concern. Assessment level: The SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score is $>= 5$ AND the SVAP2 - riparian area quantity quality element score is $>= 5$ AND the SVAP2 - canopy cover element score is $>= 6$, OR existing conservation practices are in place to address water temperature.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Tes	st Met
	More than 50 percent of the water surface is shaded on the length of the stream/river you control.	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land

Air Quality Impacts

Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr.	iteria Met	
Screening level: Activities are not present that contribute to agricultural source PM or PM precursor emissions AND episodes or complaints of emissions of PM (dust, smoke, exhaust, etc.), or chemical drift have not occurred. PM producing activity examples are: Prescribed Burn is conducted, Travel ways unpaved or treated with binding agents, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, Pesticides are applied, Fertilization (manure/ commercial), CAFO/manure management). Assessment level: PM and PM Precursor emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No	
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	Test Met	
Dust is controlled on all non-vegetated, unpaved travel ways.	Yes	No 🗌	
Hedges or rows of trees/large shrubs are established that reduce and intercept air borne particulate matter.	Yes	No 🗌	_
Existing windbreak(s)/shelterbelt(s) function has been improved or restored.	Yes	No 🗌	



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land</u> <u>Emission of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	iteria Met
Screening level: Activities are not present that produce GHGs emissions. GHG producing activities are: Fertilization(manure/commercial), CAFO/manure management, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, AND GHGs are not regulated in this planning area. Assessment level: Greenhouse gas emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	Γest Met
The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site. Species have high-growth rates or long life span with the ability to reach a large size.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land

Degraded Plant Condition

Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health

Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	iteria Met
Screening level: Plant production and health is not a client concern. Assessment level: Plants are adapted to the site, meet production goals and do not negatively impact other resources AND plant damage from wind erosion is below Crop Damage Tolerance levels.	Yes	No 🗌
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	est Met
The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation. Monitoring for Insects and disease is completed to prevent outbreaks that would be detrimental to forest health.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land Inadequate Structure and Composition</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	iteria Met
Screening level: Plant communities support the intended land use and desired ecological functions. Assessment level: Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	Cest Met
The current plants provide the desired habitat structure and composition.	Yes	No 🗌
Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain habitat to help plant diversity.	Yes	No 🗌
The forest or woodlot is fully tocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land</u> <u>Excessive Plant Pest Pressure</u>

	Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
	Screening level: Plant productivity is not limited from pest pressure. Assessment level: Pest damage to plants are below economic or environmental thresholds or client-identified criteria AND plant pests, including noxious and invasive species are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
	Invasive and noxious weeds are controlled or not present.	Yes	No
	Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain early successional habitat to help plant diversity.	Yes	No
	Trees are selected or planted that are tolerant of known damaging pests.	Yes	No
	The current plant composition prevents outbreak of non-desirable species.	Yes	No
W	ildfire Hazard, Excessive Biomass Accumulation		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
	Screening level: Wildfire hazards is not a concern. Assessment level: Fuel loads and fuel ladders are managed to provide defensible space and meet client objectives.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
	Fire risk to sensitive sites are controlled by treatment, removal or modification of vegetation, debris and detritus in a strip or area.	Yes	No 🗌
	A hazardous fuel reduction treatment has occurred or will occur.	Yes 🗍	No 🗍



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land

Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat

Inadequate Habitat - Food

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is >= 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is >= 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is >= 7, OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR food is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruptionchemical, biological, or mechanical.	Yes	No 🗌
The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, AND - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater.	Yes	No
Existing plants provide food for the chosen declining, threatened, or endangered wildlife species <see action="" plan="" state="" wildlife=""></see>	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land **Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter**

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is >= 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is >= 7 AND the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is >= 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is >= 7, OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR cover is of available quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas	Yes	No
All stream banks show few signs of erosion or bank failure. Each is stable and protected with natural materials.	Yes	No
Plant growth provides cover/shelter that benefits threatened, endagered, or declining wildlife species. <see action="" plan="" state="" wildlife=""></see>	Yes	No 🗌
The stream(s) have: - a natural, unaltered configuration, with minimal channel straightening, dredging, or bank alteration by armoring with rip-rap or other non-natural materials, - stable banks with limited erosion or bank failure, and - human uses and/or grazing levels that do not negatively impact bank condition.	Yes	No
Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruptionchemical, biological, or mechanical.	Yes	No
Internally drained features such as playas or potholes are left undrained and uncropped.	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land</u> <u>Inadequate Habitat - Water</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is $>= 0.5$ AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is $>= 7$, OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR water is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
Access to water is at the right height, depth and time of year for wildlife species.	Yes	No 🗌
Changes to water flow for irrigation or otherwise are limited to not alter the stream's usual flow.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land **Inadequate Habitat - Habitat Continuity (Space)**

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is >= 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is >= 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is >= 7, OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR The connectivity of habitat components are adequate to support stable populations of targeted species.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
In-stream structures (dam, diversion structure, bridge, culvert, low-water stream crossing, etc.) allow for the upstream/downstream movement of fish and other aquatic animals throughout most of the year.	Yes	No 🗌
Designated areas are planted as habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. Non-cropped area protected from disruption during nesting and foraging periodschemical, biological, or mechanical.	Yes	No
Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain habitat to help chosen wildlife species. <see action="" plan="" state="" wildlife=""></see>	Yes	No 🗌
Connectivity between food resources and cover and shelter is provided for the chosen wildlife species. <see action="" plan="" state="" wildlife=""></see>	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land

Inefficient Energy Use

Equipment and Facilities

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	riteria Met
Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.	Yes 🗌	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
Recommendations/components of an energy audit have been applied. The audit addressed equipment and facilities on the farm. For example, energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, heating, or building insulation have been improved	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2017-1_ND - NIPF - Beginning Farmer_Associated Ag Land</u> <u>Farming/Ranching Practices and Field Operations</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
An irrigation water management plan is followed that: -meets the crop's needs, while maximizing irrigation water efficiency, -schedules water application based on soil moisture monitoring and/or evapotranspiration monitoring, -measures and records the amount of water you use to irrigate as it comes onto the farm and goes to each field, AND -the system's distribution uniformity has been evaluated and necessary changes were made.	Yes	No
Recommendations/components of an energy audit have been applied. The audit addressed equipment and facilities on the farm. For example, energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, heating, or building insulation have been improved.	Yes	No